

It's your turn to make a presentation. Believe in your own likeability, and then go easy on yourself. It's been said that performing is just the art of keeping a large group of people from coughing.

Minneapolis Star Tribune, May 26, 2007

Was that Thinking?

Issues understanding development time
with non-intrusive data collection

Christine A. Halverson
IBM Research
Social Computing Group



Overview

- Understanding *Programmer* Productivity
- A look at intrusive and non-intrusive data collection examples
- Why it matters
- A call for engagement

Programmer Productivity

- **Not easy to measure or quantify**
- **Affected by different factors**
 - Size of programs
 - Complexity of programs
 - Cognitive, mathematical, systemic
 - Communication between programmers
 - Time constraints
 - Social factors
- **Some more amenable to study**

Approaches

■ Manual data gathering

- Programmer reflection and self report
 - Diaries, journals, notes
- Researcher motivated reports
 - Surveys, interviews relying on post programming memories
- Objective Observations

■ Automated data gathering

- Instrument applications and machine behaviors.

■ Hybrid methodologies

Examples

- **Combine observations with automated data gathering**
- **Study at Pittsburgh Supercomputing Center 2005**
 - SUMS, observation
- **Pilot study 2007**
 - Hackystat, observation, Camtasia

Sample Automated Data

- The data we get is somewhat scarce
- Easy to collect (once code is written)

UPC User	Date/time	Where captured	command
U6	2005-05-25 09:46:40	shell	command: a.out
U6	2005-05-25 09:46:49	shell	command: vim
U6	2005-05-25 09:47:14	shell	command: vim

Observations

- Difficult to collect
- More detailed
- Covers areas **not on the computer**

UPC User
Subj: U6 Date: 5/25 Coder: JW Source
State*1: ED Date & Time
Has an Editor window open

9:45a LK SCR, PG U/D, WR SP, LK HO
(Global alignment)

9:46a LK SCR, HNK, HOK, CL 1, LS
[quitting the editor took him back to a shell
prompt], RUN, ED (Output.txt), HNK

9:47a GL SP, HOK, O (Edmiston_final.c),
PG U/D, CUR D, Left hand on mouth,
POINT (MIN statement)

Looks at the screen,
pages up then
down, writes on the
scratch pad, looks
at the handout (from
learning UPC) on
Global alignment

Subj: U6 Date: 5/25 Coder: JW

State*1: ED ← Editor window open

9:45a LK SCR, PG U/D, WR SP, LK HO
(Global alignment)

9:46a LK SCR, HNK, HOK, CL 1, LS
[quitting the editor took him back to a shell
prompt], RUN, ED (Output.txt), HNK

9:47a GL SP, HOK, O (Edmiston_final.c),
PG U/D, CUR D, Left hand on mouth,
POINT (MIN statement)

Looks at the screen, pages
up then down, writes on the
scratch pad, looks at the
handout (from learning UPC)
on Global alignment

Looks at screen, hands not
on keyboard, hands on
keyboard, closes window
1, back to shell prompt,
types ls, runs the code,
opens editor with
output.txt, takes hands off
keyboard

Glances at the scratch pad, hands on keyboard, Opens Edmiston_final.c
file, pages up and down, moves the cursor down in the file, moves left
hand to mouth, points to MIN statement on screen

Map Automated to Observed data

9:45a LK SCR, PG U/D, WR
SP, LK HO (Global alignment)

9:46a LK SCR, HNK, HOK,
CL 1, LS [quitting the editor
took him back to a shell
prompt], RUN, ED
(Output.txt), HNK

09:46:40	shell	command: a.out
09:46:49	shell	command: vim
09:47:14	shell	command: vim

9:47a GL SP, HOK, O
(Edmiston_final.c), PG U/D,
CUR D, Left hand on mouth,
POINT (MIN statement)

Two separate inferences

- Trying to work out a problem – possibly around global alignment.
- Looks through code, making notes, probably thinking
- Running code (possibly to just observe behavior) before opens file, searches for the right place, then ponders the screen, pointing at a MIN statement
- Running code, looking at output
- Opening file (to edit)

Thinking
Running code

Running code
Writing code

Different Quantifications

9:46a LK SCR, HNK, HOK, CL 1,
LS [quitting the editor took him back
to a shell prompt], RUN, ED
(Output.txt), HNK

9:47a GL SP, HOK, O
(Edmiston_final.c), PG U/D, CUR D,
Left hand on mouth, POINT (MIN
statement)

09:46:40	shell	command: a.out
09:46:49	shell	command: vim
09:47:14	shell	command: vim

Run, open and view output 46:30?

Glance at scratch pad 47:00

Opens program file 47:15?

Moves around in file

ponders file 47:30?

Run, produces output file at 46:40

Opens output file 46:49

Open program file 47.14

Differences Matter

- 09:46:40 runs code
- 09:46:49 starts editor
- 09:47:14 starts editor

- 9 sec running code
- Opens editor
- 25 seconds later starts 2nd editor

1. Run, open and view output 46:30?
2. Glance at scratch pad 47:00
3. Opens program file 47:15?
4. Moves around in file
5. ponders file 47:30?

- 10 sec running code
- 20 sec looking at output
- 15 sec looking at notes
- Open program file
- 15 sec moves around
- 30 sec Ponders file

Is subject editing? Writing code?
Looking at code? Looking at output?
Was that Thinking?

Looking at code, not editing.
Looks like thinking through the
problem – looking at output 20
looking at notes 15
ponders file 30

1m 5s

Another example

Edit Eclipse `C:/DWR/ntkr/chrome/notetaker/libjs/eventutils.js`
05/22/2007-09:46:30 {unit-type=file, subtype=Open, unit-
name=eventutils.js}

Open file

Edit file

Copied from tablelist.xml

Edit Eclipse `C:/DWR/ntkr/chrome/notetaker/libjs/eventutils.js`
05/22/2007-09:46:34 {modified=true, to-buff-
name=C:/DWR/ntkr/chrome/notetaker/libjs/eventutils.js, from-buff-
name=C:/DWR/ntkr/chrome/notetaker/tablelist.xml,
subtype=BufferTransition}

Edit Eclipse `C:/DWR/ntkr/chrome/notetaker/libjs/eventutils.js`
05/22/2007-09:46:43 {}

Save file

Edit Eclipse `C:/www/xampp/htdocs/libjs/eventutils.js` 05/22/2007-
09:47:32 {unit-type=file, subtype=Open, unit-name=eventutils.js}

Open file

9:46 30-9:46:43 Editing 9:46:43-9:47:32 Running code.

Why it matters

- **Development time and phase varies**
- **Still missing much of the complexity and human interaction that is involved**
 - Does a gap indicate: thinking, getting help, going to lunch? Or all 3?
- **How do you know if a tool intervention really made the difference?**
- **How do you quantify the difference that it made?**

A Call to arms

- **How can we make unobtrusive, automatic data collection more detailed?**
- **Can we integrate screen capture/attached cameras to pick up when nothing is happening to engage the automated data collection?**
- **Can we build studies that combine automated and observational data and determine patterns of behavior to better make inferences?**

Comments? Questions? Ideas?



This material is based upon work supported by the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency under its Agreement No. HR0011-07-9-0002.